

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,355	02/21/2001	Paulus De Lange	BASE-102	4679
32954 75	590 10/19/2005		EXAM	INER
JAMES C. LYDON			TRAN, LEN	
SUITE 100	100 DAINGERFIELD ROAD SUITE 100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		1725	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/763,355	DE LANGE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Len Tran	1725
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. Treply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	This action is non-final. owance except for formal ma	-
closed in accordance with the practice und	del Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5,14,15 and 17 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 14,15 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya prection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
·		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A \	Common (DTO 442)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Foster (US 4,740,551).

Foster disclose a method for activating olefin polymerization catalyst which contain transition metal, titanium as an active component wherein the steps comprising introducing gas in the lower section containing a layer of catalyst, forming a fluidized bed, treating the catalyst in the fluidized bed by activation treatment. The reactor is tapered downward. There is no distribution plate (figures and col. 5, lines 3-24 and col. 7, lines 52-62, col. 8, lines 21-39).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/763,355 Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gross et al ('671) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Wasserman et al (US 5,674,795).

Gross et al disclose the claimed invention above, but fails to teach a cyclone. However, Wasserman et al disclose a cyclone for separation. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide a cyclone as taught by Wasserman et al, in Gross et al to assist removal of the product.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 14 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1725

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Len Tran
Examiner
Art Unit 1725

October 16, 2005